



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.										
10/023,344	12/17/2001	Hirokazu Miwa	0941.66061	7994										
7590 Patrick G. Burns, Esq. GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500 300 South Wacker Dr. Chicago, IL 60606		<table border="1"><tr><td>EXAMINER</td></tr><tr><td>LAO, LUN YI</td></tr><tr><td>ART UNIT</td><td>PAPER NUMBER</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">2629</td></tr><tr><td>MAIL DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">09/19/2007 PAPER</td></tr></table>			EXAMINER	LAO, LUN YI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	2629		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	09/19/2007 PAPER	
EXAMINER														
LAO, LUN YI														
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER													
2629														
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE													
09/19/2007 PAPER														

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/023,344	MIWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	LUN-YI LAO	2629

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LUN-YI LAO. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Joe Kim. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 14 September 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: 1.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: If the limitation of "wherein the number of the driving devices used for driving each data signal line is controlled in accordance with a resolution and/or a size of said display part" changed to "wherein the number of the driving devices used for driving each data signal line is controlled in accordance with a size of said display part", the rejection based on Matsueda(20020003421) will be overcome.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required